UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,215	03/22/2004	Patrick E. White	VE22.03D CON1	3300
33127 7590 OJUPACEJIO VERIZON LEGAL DEPARTMENT PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP 132 ON . COURTHOUSE ROAD 9TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			RIYAMI, ABDULLA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2525			2474	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patents@VERIZON.COM

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/807.215 WHITE ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit ABDULLAH RIYAMI 2474 All Participants: Status of Application: Amendment after Allowance (1) ABDULLAH RIYAMI. (3) _____. (2) STEPHEN KONTOS (Reg No. 36018). (4) _____. Date of Interview: 22 December 2009 Time: 4 pm Type of Interview: □ Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Aung S. Moe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2474 /Abdullah Rivami/ Examiner, Art Unit 2474

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendment to the specification: Pages 35 and 38 of the specification refers to figure 11, however there are only 10 figures in file. Applicant agreed to submit 312 amendments to the specification which refer to figure 10, fixing the typographical errors in pages 35 through 38.